

Elementary Teachers' Views on Mind Mapping

Özgül Keleş Faculty of Education, Aksaray University Merkez Kampus, 68100, Aksaray, Turkey Tel: 90-382-288-2257 E-mail: ozgulkeles@gmail.com

Received: January 3, 2012Accepted: February 21, 2012Published: March 11, 2012doi:10.5296/ije.v4i1.1327URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5296/ije.v4i1.1327

Abstract

The purpose of this study was investigating elementary teachers' views on mind mapping by using interviews. In this line, the study group of the research was composed of 24 elementary teachers experience periods in their job between two and 21 who were enrolled in a "Teaching Thinking Science Consulting Course". In the implementation phase of the study, the teachers were primarily instructed about the application of the mind mapping technique. In the interviews open-ended six questions were asked to teachers about mind mapping and the use of this technique in the classroom. Interviews were analyzed using qualitative research methods. Results showed that using mind mappings in instruction helps teachers improve their instruction, planning and evaluating lessons and makes the lecture more entertaining. The technique can be suggested to extend by analyzing its relation with different variables like different lessons and participants.

Keywords: Elementary Teachers' Views, Mind mapping, Turkey



1. Introduction

Elementary level science and technology program was developed based on constructivist approach. For students to achieve the objectives set by the program, student-centered teaching methods and techniques should be employed. Because of this teachers utilize various instructional strategies to be effective in assisting students' learning. One of the visual techniques that are used with the stated objectives is mind mapping. According to Zhao (2003) teachers can understand if students understood the subject and if they could construct an appropriate structure for the new information by examining their mind maps. Mind mapping is a note-taking, organizational technique, which allows individuals to "organize facts and thoughts" (Buzan, 2002) in a map format containing a "central image, main themes radiating from the central image, branches with key images and key words, plus branches forming a connected nodal structure" (Buzan, 1993). In addition, the mind map helps students to assimilate new information, to think and to develop their conceptual schema. Mind mapping is a technique which enhances creativity and promotes individuals' learning (Mento, Martinelli & Jones, 1999). They assure individuals to recall knowledge and to show the relations between different thoughts and concepts (Evrekli, Balim & Inel, 2009; Buzan, 2005). During teaching and learning, a mind map also helps teachers to explain why they are focusing on a particular aspect of a topic. From the mind maps that students make, teachers may learn whether their students understand the topic and whether the student is able to organize and construct a suitable structure for that knowledge (Zhao, 2003).

Recent years, studies of mind mapping have been conducted with various age groups in the literature. Positive and negative effects have been encountered. Evrekli et al. (2009) found that according to science teacher candidates, mind mapping can be used in constructive science and technology effectively. To Farrand, Hussain, and Hennessy (2002) "Mind maps provide an effective study technique when applied to written material". Taliaferro (1998) determined that students enjoyed the mind-mapping exercise. Negative results of the study were students' level of cognitive development. Students were not able to "think abstractly". Goodnough and Woods (2002) performed a qualitative, interpretive case study on two fifth-grade classes and two sixth-grade classes. There were many effects of this study. Students' perceptions of mind mapping were "fun, interesting, and a motivating approach to learning". In addition, students preferred to use mind mapping in an individual situation rather than a group situation because they could express their own ideas for their own meaning. Williams (1999) stated that mind mapping provided students with an opportunity to improve their learning. According to this study, the mind-mapping technique, as confirmed by other studies, "is helpful as a study aid and helps learners understand and recall information better". Negative outcomes of the study involved adults who found this strategy to be "uncomfortable to use" (Williams, 1999). Their reasoning was because it lacked structure and required spontaneity. Time and practice were required for adults to feel comfortable with the strategy.

When the features of mind maps are taken into consideration, it is proposed that using mind maps in the different lessons might be effective tool. Furthermore, views of the elementary teachers' using mind maps in their lessons can provide feedback for the investigators about



utility, uses of learning environments and limits of using mind maps. Thus, it was needed to make a search intended to investigate elementary teachers' views on mind mapping by using interviews.

2. Research Methods

The study group of the research was composed of 24 elementary teachers (18 females; six males) experience periods in their job between two and 21 who were enrolled in a "Teaching Thinking Science Consulting Course". In the implementation phase of the study, the teachers were primarily instructed about the application of the mind mapping technique. Firstly, mind maps were presented in details to the teachers participating in the study. Then, a mind map was prepared with the participants stating one day's plan. After preparing sample map, each participant was asked for to prepare a mind map presenting himself /herself individually. Participants were enabled to analyze each other's mind maps by presenting the studies carried out on mind maps on the board. In the interviews open-ended six questions were asked to teachers about mind mapping and the use of this technique in the classroom. Interviews were analyzed using qualitative research methods.

3. Analysis of Results

This part includes the findings of the research and interpretations of the findings. The first open ended question intended for identifying views of elementary teachers relating to applications of mind maps was: "What do you think about mind maps? Please explain."

The percent –frequency rates relating to answers and categories of their thoughts given to this open ended question by the elementary teachers are given place in Table 1.

What do you think about mind maps? Please explain.			
Categories	f	%	Sample Expressions
It is a technique suitable for 1-3 class levels.	3	7	
It is a plan determining ways to solution.	2	4	"It is a technique that is quite creative and
It is a technique that can be applied freely,	7	15	permanent in learning."
is easy to be prepared and fertile.			
It makes comprehension easy.	3	7	"I believe that mind mapping is a useful,
It enables visual learning.	4	9	enjoyable and practicable technique that
It improves creativity.	4	9	can be used in making sense of,
It is an enjoyable, interesting and a colorful	7	15	summarizing and classifying of the data
study.			and in which we can use pictures and act
It is effective in enabling data's	6	13	freely and show our creativity."
permanence.			
It enables data's being organized and	3	7	
classified.			
It is useful in data's being summarized.	3	7	
It enables constituting mental schemas of	3	7	
the learned.			

Table 1. Views of Elementary Teachers Relating to the First Open Ended Question

For the purpose of determining the opinions of teachers concerning mind mapping

Macrothink Institute™

applications the following open ended question was inquired: "Do you think that mind maps are applicable in the learning environment? Why? "

The percent–frequency rates relating to answers given to this open ended question by the elementary teachers are given place in Table 2.

Table 2. Views of Elementary Teachers Relating to the Second Open Ended Question

Do you think that mind maps are applicable in the learning environment? Why?			
Categories	f	%	Sample Expressions
It makes learning permanent.	4	14	
It makes data visual.	6	21	I think that it is applicable. Since it makes
It makes data systematic.	1	4	data visual, it provides learning to be
It is a technique that can be utilized easily in	4	14	permanent.'
learning environments in which oral and			
visual expressions can be used together.			"It can be applied easily. Togetherness of
It enables coding of the data learned in	1	4	pencil, paper and imagination is enough."
minds.			
It provides pencil-paper and imagination.	7	25	"I believe that students will enjoy a lot as
It can be use planning of a day and a course.	1	4	in mind maps students can act freely, can
It is a study that will appeal students as they	4	14	draw pictures, and they can use colors."
will feel themselves free and they can draw			
pictures by using colors.			

At the end of the analyses of the second open ended question, 24 participants expressed that it can be definitely applicable. For the purpose of determining the opinions of teachers concerning mind mapping applications the following open ended question was inquired: "In which step of your lessons do you plan to use mind maps? Why?"

The percent–frequency rates relating to answers given to this open ended question by the elementary teachers are given place in Table 3.

In which step of your lessons do you plan to use mind maps? Why?			
Categories	f	%	Sample Expressions
In searching pre-knowledge	21	40	"I believe that I can use it to summarize the
In evaluating teaching	15	30	course in starting and after the course."
			"In introduction part, I use it to determine
In getting feedback	4	8	being ready, to comprehend the things learned
In measuring their levels of being ready	3	6	and in conclusion part to get feedback about
In summarizing the course	3	6	the deficiencies."
In determining whether conceptual learning is	2	4	"I had some studies in self knowledge with
realized or not			disabled children and in concept teaching with
In planning courses and daily life	1	2	autistic children. "
In making courses enjoyable	1	2	"Before the course, I use it in order to
With autistic children in teaching concepts	1	2	determine pre-knowledge for evaluating
			students' being ready for the course and in
			evaluating teaching."

Table 3. Views of Elementary Teachers Relating to the Third Open Ended Question



All the participants expressed that they will use it in searching pre-knowledge, in summarizing relevant subject and evaluating. For the purpose of determining the opinions of teachers concerning mind mapping applications the following open ended question was inquired: "Do you prefer using the mind maps prepared by you or your students in your courses? Why?"

The percent –frequency rates relating to answers given to this open ended question by the elementary teachers are given place in Table 4.

Table 4. Views of Elementary Teachers Relating to the Fourth Open Ended Question

Do you prefer using the mind maps prepared by you or your students in your courses? Why?			
Categories	f	%	Sample Expressions
The participants preferring using the mind	11	46	
maps prepared by students indicate their			
reasons as in the following:			"I plan to use mind maps prepared by the
To improve students' creativity	7	20	students more. I think I will see the
To see the technique's positive effects	3	9	positive effects of this method on them and
To enable permanence of the information	4	11	will provide permanent learning."
To learn students' styles of expressing own	3	9	"I would like to use mind maps prepared
feelings			by students. I also desire to improve their
To determine pre-knowledge of the	3	9	creativity and broaden their horizons."
students			
To increase students' awareness about the	4	11	
subject			
To enable students' transferring their minds	4	11	
To make them express themselves easily	3	9	
To broaden their horizons	4	11	
The participants preferring using the mind	12	54	"I use the maps prepared by myself to
maps prepared by themselves and also by			broaden students' horizons and to make
students indicate their reasons as in the			them gain different perspectives and I use
following:			the maps prepared by students in order to
In making students comprehend the subject	3	25	learn whether they learned the subject or
the maps prepared by me			not "
In treating the subject its being leading to	4	33	
me			"I prefer both of them. Since the one
To make students gain different	2	17	prepared by me will lead me in treating
perspectives			the subject. The one prepared by students
The ones prepared by students in order to	3	25	will be more appropriate for their level
understand if they comprehend the subject			and comprehending."

11 participants preferred using the ones prepared by students when 12 ones preferred both the mind maps prepared by themselves and the students in accordance with the content of the subject. For the purpose of determining the opinions of teachers concerning mind mapping applications the following open ended question was inquired: "In which points do you think your students and you will have difficulties in preparing mind maps? Why?"

The percent-frequency rates relating to answers given to this open ended question by the



elementary teachers are given place in Table 5.

Table 5. Views of Elementary Teachers Relating to the Fifth Open Ended Question

In which points do you think your students	and y	ou will	have difficulties in preparing mind maps?
	Wl	hy?	
Categories	f	%	Sample Expressions
In the stage of drawing visuals In the stage of planning and making details In classifying general and specific concepts In the stage of correlating No difficulty will be seen.	8 4 2 6 7	29.6 14.8 7.4 22.2 25.9	"I believe that as this skill is not developed in students' drawings visual images and symbolization, there may be some difficulties." "Students may have difficulties in classifying general and more specific concepts." "I do not think that students will have difficulties in the stage of preparing when they are made comprehend what mind
			maps are and their function.

In Table 5, seven participants indicated that no difficulty will be exposed and 17 participants expressed that in some points there may be some troubles. For the purpose of determining the opinions of teachers concerning mind mapping applications the following open ended question was inquired: "Which one do you think more useable (concept or mind maps) when taking into consideration learning environment, learning tools, teaching program, course instruments? Why?"

The percent–frequency rates relating to answers given to this open ended question by the elementary teachers are given place in Table 6.

Which one do you think more useable (concept or mind maps) when taking into consideration learning environment, learning tools, teaching program, course instruments? Why?			
Categories	f	%	Sample Expressions
Mind maps are more useable.	14	58	"Mind maps are more useable as they give students freedom of expressing themselves, improves creativity, have less rules and develop level of remembering by appealing to visual intelligence and it increases the interest to the course."
Concept maps are more useable.	3	12	"Concept map is a more suitable technique in expressing theoretical information."
Both of them are useable.	7	30	"I believe that in the first grades of primary education mind maps and in the preceding years mind maps should be used in accordance with the age, student level and subject content."

Table 6. Views of Elementary Teachers Relating to the Sixth Open Ended Question



4. Conclusions

The data gathered indicated that all the teachers participated in the study believe that mind maps are effective tools in learning and improving students' creativity and in providing permanent learning. Participants emphasized that it is a technique that appeals visual intelligence, gives students chance to express themselves freely and that is easily applicable. They also expressed that this technique can be applied in all grades of learning and in early years of primary education it is more appropriate to use mind maps than concept maps. Among the difficulties in application of this technique, drawing pictures and correlating concepts can be counted. Relevant literature studies support these findings (Balım, Aydın & Evrekli, 2006; Treviño, 2005; Goodnough & Woods, 2002; Williams, 1999; Taliaferro, 1998)

Graphic organizers assist learning by providing an opportunity for visual stimuli, assessment, checking understanding, elaboration, note taking, summarizing, illustrating sequence of events, and other creative ways of instruction (Gregory & Chapman, 2002; Treviño, 2005; Bromley, Irwin-DeVitis & Modlo, 1995). There are studies showing that mind maps contribute to longer retention of the information through mind maps (Akınoğlu & Yaşar, 2007; Buzan, 2005); help students to see the connections among the pieces of information (Evrekli & Balım, 2010; Evrekli, Balım & Inel, 2009); in light of these findings, mind maps are thought to be useful for teachers while teaching science concepts.

Mind mapping improves students' skills of thinking and reveals their creativity by using different colors and shapes and affects them positively. Teachers can help students to improve thinking skills and creativity by using mind mapping together with the other techniques in accordance with the philosophy of constructivist approach. An important implication of this study is that there is a need for teachers to know how to teach mind map construction and to associate the mind maps into their lessons. The present study is limited to the elementary teachers. In order to extend the use of mind mapping technique, this technique can be offered to teachers from different branches.

References

Akınoğlu, O., & Yaşar, Z. (2007). The effects of note taking in science education through the mind mapping technique on students' attitudes, academic achievement and concept learning. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 6(3), 3443.

Balım, A. G., Aydın, G., & Evrekli, E. (2006, April). *Fen ve teknoloji öğretiminde zihin haritaları ve kavram haritaları kullanmanın önemi*. Presented at the VI. International Educational Technologies Conference, Famagusta, Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus.

Bromley, K., Irwin-DeVitis, L., & Modlo, M. (1995). *Graphic organizers: Visual strategies for active learners*. New York, NY: Scholastic Professional Books.

Buzan, T. (2005). *Mind map: The ultimate thinking tool*. London: Thorsons.

Buzan, T. (2002). How to mind map. London: Thorsons.

Buzan, T. (1993). The mind map book: How to use radiant thinking to maximize your brain's



untapped potential. New York, NY: Penguin Group.

Evrekli, E., & Balım, A. G. (2010). Fen ve teknoloji öğretiminde zihin haritası ve kavram karikatürü kullanımının öğrencilerin akademik başarıları ve sorgulayıcı öğrenme becerileri algılarına etkisi. *Batı Anadolu Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi (BAED)*, 1(2), 76-98. Retrieved from http://web.deu.edu.tr/baed/giris/baed/sayi_2.pdf

Evrekli, E., Balım, A.G., & Inel, D. (2009). Mind mapping applications in special teaching methods courses for science teacher candidates and teacher candidates' opinions concerning the applications. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *1*, 2274–2279. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.400

Farrand, P., Hussain, F., & Hennessy, E. (2002). The efficacy of the 'mind map' study
technique.*MedicalEducation*,*36*,426-431.http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01205.x

Goodnough, K., & Woods, R. (2002, April). *Student and teacher perceptions of mind mapping: A middle school case study.* Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA. Retrieved from http://faculty.ksu.edu.sa/aljarf/Research%20Library/Mind-mapping/84.pdf

Gregory, G.H., & Chapman, C. (2002). *Differentiated instructional strategies: One size doesn't fit all*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc.

Mento, A. J., Martinelli, P., & Jones, R. M. (1999). Mind mapping in executive education: Applications and outcomes. *Journal of Management Development*. *18*(4). 390-416. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02621719910265577

Taliaferro, M. (1998). *Mindmapping effects on sixth grade students' recall ability*. *Unpublished master's thesis,* Mercer University, Atlanta, Georgia.

Treviño, C. (2005). *Mind mapping and outlining: Comparing two types of graphic organizers for learning seventh-grade life science*. Unpublished PhD thesis, Texas Tech University, Texas, USA.

Williams, M.H. (1999). The effects of a brain-based learning strategy, mind mapping, on achievement of adults in a training environment with consideration to learning styles and brain hemisphericity. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of North Texas, *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *60*, 1525.

Zhao, Y. (2003). The use of a constructivist teaching model in environmental science at Beijing Normal University. *The China Papers*, 2, 78-83. Retrieved from http://science.uniserve.edu.au/pubs/china/vol2/yezhao.pdf

Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright reserved by the author(s).

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).